MSACL 2025 Trainee Poster Contest

- 1. This is a trainee competition. You are eligible if you are a student, post-doc, resident, fellow or similar, or you completed your training after September 1, 2024.

 Troubleshooting posters are not eligible. Reasonable exceptions may apply.
- 2. Participants either confirmed their interest when submitting their abstract, or they can do so before September 1 via their Network Directory Profile as part of their Abstract Management.
- 3. Participants are required to **upload a PDF of their poster** via their <u>Network</u> <u>Directory Profile</u> by <u>September 1</u>.

All posters should be no larger than 42x42" (inches).

DEADLINE for Poster Upload: September 1, 2025

- 4. **Judging Parameters**: Poster Judges may use the judging rubric on the following page as guidance for ranking, *although this is not required*.
- 5. **ROUND ONE**: **Review of PDF Poster**: The Judging Committee will review the Candidates' Uploaded PDFs (deadline September 1). Each judge will select their 4 top posters, in order of preference. From these selections the Judging Committee will identify the Finalists (~6-8). Finalists will be notified by email by September 11. Finalists will move to Round Two.
- 6. **ROUND TWO: Oral Presentation & Defense:** This will take place during your poster attendance period. Each judge will spend 3-5 minutes at each Finalist poster. The judges may perform the interviews as a group, or individually, with each judge selecting their top 4 posters from which the winners will be determined. There will be up to 3 winners.
- 7. **Poster Awards:** Awardees will be announced on Thursday September 25 at 17:30 prior to the Pub-Style Trivia Dinner. Up to three winners will receive awards of 500 USD, payable via PayPal.

Judging Rubric

	9-10 Outstanding	6-8 Good	3-5 Average	0-2 Poor
Clinical Application	Addresses a current and	Addresses a current clinical	Exhibits low to moderate	Irrelevant to the clinic, or
(maximum 10 points)	pressing clinical issue. Exhibits high clinical relevance, and potential for direct clinical application.	issue. Exhibits potential for clinical application with limited modification.	clinical relevance, requires some modification for clinical application.	impractical for clinical application without substantial modification.
Scientific Value/Content	Exhibits substantially high	Exhibits originality and/or	Exhibits limited originality	Lacks originality and/or
(maximum 10 points)	degree of originality and/or novelty. Novel application of known technique. New technique or approach to address the clinical issue. Clear and detailed description of how data were obtained.	novelty. Novel application of known technique. New technique or approach to address the clinical issue. Clear description of how data were obtained.	and/or novelty. Novel application of known technique. New technique or approach to address the clinical issue. Description of how data were obtained could be improved.	novelty. Methods for obtaining data are missing, vague, or unclear.
Quality of Work (maximum 10 points)	Well-written, free of grammatical errors. Very well-organized and communicates ideas clearly. Details and figures provided clearly capture the important information about the topic and increase the audience's understanding.	Well-written, minor grammatical errors. Well-organized and communicates ideas clearly. Details and figures provide important information about the topic and assist audience's understanding.	Adequately written, containing some grammatical errors. Some or most ideas communicated clearly. Details and figures provide important information about the topic, but may require verbal clarification or description.	Poorly written or contains multiple grammatical errors. Poorly organized or unclear. Details and figures provided are confusing.
Innovation /Creativity (maximum of 10 points)	Highly innovative. The poster is characterized by diverse, original ideas that represent groundbreaking insights, demonstrating exceptional creativity in the subject matter.	Distinctly creative. The poster showcases a good balance between conventional knowledge and innovative thoughts, providing a refreshing take on the subject.	Some creativity demonstrated. The poster incorporates standard ideas but also offers a few unique insights that reflect moderate innovation.	Lacks originality. The poster presents conventional ideas with no evidence of creative thinking or unique perspectives on the topic depicted.
Oral Presentation	Presenter can summarize	Presenter can summarize	Presenter can summarize	Presenter unable to
(maximum 10 points)	poster thoroughly and succinctly. Shows exceptional and thorough command of subject matter. Answers questions briefly but clearly and thoroughly.	poster thoroughly and succinctly. Shows clear understanding of subject matter. Answers questions thoroughly with limited need for clarification.	poster. Shows moderate understanding of subject matter and purpose. Answers to questions require some clarification.	summarize poster. Shows poor understanding of subject matter. Provides vague answers to questions.