

# Automated Extraction and Quantification of Immunosuppressants from Dry Blood Spots Using the **Transcend DSX-1 System**

Pragya Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Richard Lahr<sup>1</sup>, Alison Lightfoot<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer Faber<sup>1</sup>, Jingshu Guo<sup>2</sup>, Loralie Langman<sup>1</sup>, Paul J. Jannetto<sup>1</sup> and Anthony Maus<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Division of Clinical Biochemistry and Immunology, Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; <sup>2</sup>ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose, CA

### ABSTRACT

#### BACKGROUND

Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of immunosuppressants in whole blood is critical for clinical follow-up of transplant patients to obtain the optimum balance between therapeutic efficacy and the occurrence of adverse effects. Immunosuppressants are routinely quantified using LC-MS/MS in whole blood which translates to frequent phlebotomy visits for patients for the purpose of venous blood collection. Alternatively, dry blood spot (DBS) measurements have the potential to reduce the cost of sample collection and shipping, while simultaneously increasing the convenience of at home collection for patients.

#### **METHODS**

Calibrators and QC were made by spiking immunosuppressants (Cyclosporine A, Tacrolimus, Sirolimus and Everolimus) into bovine whole blood. Residual venous blood samples previously analyzed for Cyclosporine A, Tacrolimus, Sirolimus and/or Everolimus on validated LC-MS/MS methods were utilized for this study. Calibrators, QC, and patient samples were spotted (25 µL) on PerkinElmer 226 Bioanalysis RUO Card with Ahlstrom 226 grade paper. Immunosuppressants were extracted using a fully automated Thermo Scientific<sup>™</sup> Transcend<sup>™</sup> DSX-1 UHPLC system that performs internal standard addition, analyte extraction, 2-D LC matrix cleanup and analyte separation without any manual intervention. Sample analysis was performed on a Thermo Scientific<sup>™</sup> TSQ Altis<sup>™</sup> MD mass spectrometer and data was analyzed using TraceFinder<sup>™</sup> LDT software.

## **OBJECTIVE**

#### To evaluate the analytical applicability of a DBS method for monitoring of immunosuppressants in venous blood samples using an automated extraction platform.

# **METHODS**

#### **IMMUNOSUPPRESSANTS**



#### **Everolimus**

Analytical Column

Flow Rate

0.4

0.4

0.4

0.4

0.4

0.4

0.4

0.4

0.4

Gradient %A

99

99

99

99

42

99

99

Step

Step

Step

Step

Step

Ramp

Ramp

Step

Ramp

Step

Diver

Naste

Det

Det

Det

#### **LC-MS/MS PARAMETERS**

TurboFlow Column

Gradient

Flow Rate

1

0.15

0.15

1.5

1.5

1.5

1.5

1.5

1

Analyte

Cyclosporin

Cyclosporin A-[

FK-506-[

Time (min)

0.8

0.5

0.1

0.05

1.5

1.5

4

Start

0

0.8

1.3

1.4

2.4

2.45

3.95

5.45

6.45

7.45

	Precursor (m/z)	Product (m/z)	Columns:	
			TurboFlow Cyclone™ 1 x 50 mm, HypersilGOLD™ aQ 2.1 x 30 mm	
eΑ	1220	1202.8	Mobile Phase A:	
5N]11	1230.9	1213.9	1mM Ammonium Formate in Water with 0.1% Formic Acid	

%A %B %C Tee

#### **CALIBRATION**

RESULTS



#### **INTRA/ INTER-DAY IMPRECISION**

Four different levels of QC were measured in five batches in replicates of three per batch.

- Intra-day: All analytes were within acceptable limit
- Inter-day: All analytes except Cyclosporine A meet the acceptance criteria.

Analyte	Cyclosporine A %CV	Tacrolimus %CV	Sirolimus %CV	Everolimus %CV
Intra-day				
LLOQ	4.6	6.9	4.7	5.2
Low	5.4	5.3	6.1	4.8
Medium	4.3	3.6	5.1	6.2
High	9.4	2.4	5.5	4.3
Inter-day				

9.5

8.1

7.5

9.1

8.4

7

8.3

6.5

#### SAMPLE ACCURACY

Patient results were compared with the established LC-MS-MS method.



#### AUTHENTIC SAMPLE STABILITY



#### RESULTS

Extraction, LC separation, and quantification of immunosuppressants using the Transcend<sup>™</sup> DSX-1 system was accomplished using a 11.45-minute method. This method includes extensive wash and equilibration steps to minimize carryover. The intra-run imprecision of four different concentrations of QC for the four immunosuppressants measured was  $\pm 12\%$ . The signal-to-noise ratio for all samples was greater than ten. Patient results from the Transcend<sup>™</sup> DSX-1 were compared to established LC-MS/MS testing methods that utilized osmotic shock lysis by water followed by protein precipitation with methanolic zinc sulfate. Linear regression comparison indicated less than or equal to 10% bias and R<sup>2</sup> greater than 0.85 for all analytes tested.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Preliminary studies suggest that the Transcend<sup>™</sup> DSX-1 platform has the potential to provide a fully automated extraction of DBS for immunosuppressants. This sample matrix enables convenient sample collection for patients for therapeutic drug monitoring of immunosuppressants. Although our initial results are promising, additional studies are required to demonstrate if this platform can achieve the necessary analytical performance characteristics and determine if simultaneous venous whole blood collection and DBS of patients undergoing immunosuppressant therapy produce clinically equivalent results.

Tacrolimus	821.9	768.5	Mobile Phase B:
FK-506-[13C]-D2	824.9	771.5	1mM Ammonium Formate in Methanol with 0.1% Formi
Sirolimus	931.7	864.5	Mobile Phase C:
Rapamycin D3	934.7	864.5	45/45/10: Isopropanol/Acetonitrile/Acetone
Everolimus	975.8	908.5	Wash 2: 0.1% Formic Acid in Acetonitrile:
Everolimus-D4	979.8	912.5	Wash 3: 45/45/10: Isopropanol/Acetonitrile/Acetone

	LLOQ	20.7	10.4	
nol with 0.1% Formic Acid	Low	23.2	8.6	
	Medium	24.1	4.1	
Acetone	High	30.8	6.3	
r;				

#### **CHROMATOGRAPHY**



#### AUTOMATED WORKFLOW



### **CONCLUSIONS**

- A working and reproducible TurboFlow and analytical method for measurement of immunosuppressants was developed.
- The validated method proved authentic patient sample stability for 14 days at room temperature. This supports DBS method as a convenient mode for at home sample collection and mailing without interfering with clinical interpretation during clinical follow-up of patients.
- Transcend<sup>™</sup> DSX-1 shows potential to provide fully automated extraction of DBS for immunosuppressants, whereas additional studies are required to further evaluate the technology in clinical settings using simultaneous venous blood collection and spotting.

### REFERENCES

- 1. McShane A.J., et.al., Clinica Chimica Acta 454 (2016), 1-5.
- 2. Karapirli M. et.al., Scientific World journal 57 (2012) 1201.
- 3. Deprez S., Stove C.P., Journal of Chromatography A, 1653 (2021) 462430.
- 4. Mayo Clinic Laboratories, test catalog, specimenID 35143, 35144, 35145, and 35146.